



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/709,759

11/09/2000

Yee S. Liaw

644-001

4751

7590

01/26/2006

Ward & Olivo  
382 Springfield Avenue  
Summit, NJ 07901

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, DUSTIN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2154

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/709,759	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LIAW ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Dustin Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2154	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 4-9, 11, 12 and 16-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 4-9, 11, 12 and 16-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 4-9, 11, 12, 16-28 are presented for examination.

#### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/07/2005 has been entered.

#### ***Response to Arguments***

3. As per remarks, Applicants' argued that (1) Dickens does not disclose the use of a switch unit.
4. As to point (1), Dickens discloses a switch unit couple to said user interface device by a single first connection [ 100, 101, Figure 1; Figure 2; and col 15, lines 16-33 and lines 63-67 ]. As mentioned in previous Office Action, Dickens discloses a switch unit for enabling communication between said user interface device and a remote located computer [ i.e. remote computer 103 ] [ Figure 1; and col 15, lines 16-62 ], but Dickens does not disclose a switch unit

Art Unit: 2154

for enabling communication between said user interface device and a plurality of remotely located computers, this limitation is mention in Thomas reference in previous rejection as well as in Beasley reference in the rejection below.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. The following terms lack antecedent basis:

I. the amplitude and the frequency components - claim 12.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2154

8. Claims 4-9, 12, 16, 17, 19-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickens et al. [ US Patent No 6,618,774 ], in view of Beasley et al. [ US Patent No 5,937,176 ].

9. As per claim 4, Dickens discloses the invention substantially as claimed including a computer switching system comprising:

a user interface device for multiplexing signals output from a connected keyboard and cursor control device and for providing an interface to a video display [ 101, Figure 1; and col 15, lines 34-45 ];

switch unit coupled to said user interface device by a single first connection [ 100, Figure 1; and col 15, lines 7-10 ]; and

wherein video signals output from said remotely located computers are transmitted to said video display via said switch unit [ 104, Figure 1; and col 15, lines 34-37 ];

wherein said user interface device comprises an amplification circuit for automatically amplifying said transmitted video signals based on at least a synchronization signal transmitted with a component of said video signal [ i.e. gain amplifiers ] [ 303, 304, Figure 3; and col 18, lines 34-67 ].

Dickens does not specifically disclose

a switch unit for enabling communication between said user interface device and a plurality of remotely located computers; and

Art Unit: 2154

a plurality of computer interface modules each coupled to said switch unit by a single second connection, each of said computer interface modules couples to at least one of said remotely located computers;

wherein said user interface device receives keyboard and cursor control device signals, packetizes at least one of said keyboard or cursor control signals and transmits said packetized signal with command data to said switch unit; and

wherein said switch unit interprets said command data which identifies at least one of said remotely located computers, generates an emulated keyboard or cursor control device signal based on said packetized signal and transmits said emulated signal to said identified remotely located computer.

Beasley discloses

a switch unit for enabling communication between said user interface device and a plurality of remotely located computers [ 60, Figure 1; Abstract; and col 3, lines 1-18 ]; and

a plurality of computer interface modules each coupled to said switch unit by a single second connection [ 152A-D, Figure 4; and col 6, lines 15-27 ], each of said computer interface modules couples to at least one of said remotely located computers [ 52-56, Figure 1; and col 3, lines 1-29 ];

wherein said user interface device receives keyboard and cursor control device signals [ 70, Figure 1; and col 3, lines 38-49 ], packetizes at least one of said keyboard or cursor control signals and transmits said packetized signal with command data to said switch unit [ Figures 2A; Abstract; and col 3, lines 49-col 4, lines 10 ]; and

Art Unit: 2154

wherein said switch unit interprets said command data which identifies at least one of said remotely located computers [ Figure 2B; col 4, lines 63-col 5, lines 12; and col 6, lines 47-58 ], generates an emulated keyboard or cursor control device signal based on said packetized signal and transmits said emulated signal to said identified remotely located computer [ col 1, lines 60-65; and col 5, lines 27-56 ].

It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Dickens and Beasley because Beasley's teaching of plurality of remotely located computers would allow to provide the ability for system administrators to control multiple computers remotely so that maintenance time can be reduced and increase productivity.

10. As per claim 5, Dickens discloses wherein said at least one of said first and second connections comprise a series of twisted pair conducting wires [ Figure 1; and col 1, lines 34-40 ].

11. As per claim 6, Dickens discloses wherein each said component of said video signals is transmitted on one of said twisted pair conducting wires, and wherein said keyboard and cursor control device signals are transmitted on a separate one of said twisted pair conducting wires [ 200-203, Figure 2; col 15, lines 63-66; col 16, lines 4-6; col 17 lines 19-23; and col 17, lines 29-40 ].

Art Unit: 2154

12. As per claim 7, Dickens discloses wherein said synchronization signal is transmitted with one of said components of said video signals on one of said twisted pair conducting wires [ col 2, lines 9-18 ].

13. As per claim 8, Dickens discloses wherein said synchronization signal is decoded by said user interface device [ i.e. signal separator ] [ col 24, lines 44-50 ].

14. As per claim 9, Dickens discloses wherein said command data is transmitted with said keyboard and cursor control signals on a separate one of said twisted pair conducting wires [ col 4, lines 27-36 ].

15. As per claim 12, Dickens discloses wherein said amplification circuit amplifies the amplitude and frequency components of said video signals by analyzing said synchronization signal [ col 10, lines 28-38 ].

16. As per claim 16, Dickens discloses wherein said synchronization signal is a horizontal or vertical synchronization signal [ col 1, lines 17-20 ].

17. As per claim 17, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1, 12-16. Furthermore, Dickens discloses wherein one of said computer interface modules receives video signals having red, green, and blue components from one of said remote computers [ col 9, lines



Art Unit: 2154

19-37 ] and encodes synchronization signals onto at least one of said components for transmission to said user station through said switch [ col 26, lines 3-7 ].

18. As per claims 19 and 20, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 5 and 6.

19. As per claim 21, Dickens discloses synchronization signals are encoded as negative signals [ col 25, lines 1-3 ].

20. As per claim 22, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 16.

21. As per claim 23, Dickens discloses wherein said user station compares said synchronization signals to a signal of known shape to determine a degradation of said synchronization signals [ col 9, lines 52-56 ].

22. As per claim 24, Dickens discloses wherein said user station amplifies said one or more frequency components of said video signals to compensate for said degradation [ 300-302, Figure 3; and col 18, lines 43-51 ].

23. As per claim 25, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 1 and 17. Furthermore, Dickens discloses amplifying at least one frequency component of said video signals to produce tuned video signals for display at said user station [ col 10, lines 24-27 ].

24. As per claim 26, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 17.

25. As per claims 27 and 28, they are rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claims 13, 14 and 16.

26. Claims 11 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickens et al. [ US Patent No 6,618,774 ], in view of Beasley et al. [ US Patent No 5,937,176 ], and further in view of Wilder et al. [ US Patent No 6,557,170 ].

27. As per claim 11, Dickens and Beasley do not specifically disclose wherein each of said plurality of computer interface modules receives power from one of said remote computers. Wilder discloses wherein each of said plurality of computer interface modules receives power from one of said remote computers [ Figure 3; Abstract; and col 3, lines 51-col 4, lines 12 ]. It would have been obvious to a person skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Dickens, Beasley and Wilder because Wilder' teaching of power control would allow to easy manage and control the power source of the devices.

28. As per claim 18, it is rejected for similar reasons as stated above in claim 11.

Art Unit: 2154

29. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4-9, 11, 12, 16-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

30. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application (see 35 U.S.C 133, M.P.E.P 710.02, 710.02(b)).

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dustin Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached at (571) 272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/709,759  
Art Unit: 2154

Page 11

Dustin Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2154

 JOHN FOLLANSBEE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100